

Crime Analysis Report May 2017

Det. Sgt. Kurt Fasulo #63

CALEA 15.3.1

Table of Contents

Crime Analysis Definition	3
Crime Analysis Narrative Report	4
Appendix A (Crime Graph)	.7
Appendix B (Burglary Graph)	8
Appendix C (Criminal Mischief Graph)	.10
Appendix D (Larceny Graph)	.12

Crime Analysis

A set of systematic, analytical processes directed at providing timely and pertinent information relative to crime patterns and trend correlations to assist the operational and administrative personnel in planning the deployment of resources for the prevention and suppression of criminal activities, aiding the investigative process, and increasing apprehensions and the clearance of cases. Within this context, Crime Analysis supports a number of department functions including patrol deployment, special operations, and tactical units, investigations, planning and research, crime prevention, and administrative services (budgeting and program planning). --Steven Gottlieb et al., 1994, "Crime Analysis: From First Report to Final Arrest." TO: Office of the Chief

FROM: Det. Sgt. Kurt Fasulo #63

SUBJECT: Crime Analysis Report May 2017

During the month of May 2017 the Guilford Police Department had the following **Part 1** and selected **Part 2** Crimes reported: Reference Appendix A for further information. This information was compiled via the NEXGEN system utilizing a query of all Part 1 Crimes and select Part 2 crimes from the computer database. A full review of each queried case file report was completed.

The Guilford Police Department investigated **975** cases for the month of May. This is a ten percent (10%) increase in total call volume from April to May.

Burglary Cases

There were four (4) burglary cases reported during the month of May. This is a One Hundred percent (100%) increase in Burglaries from April to May. None of these four cases appear to be linked to one another. One case it is unclear whether the attempted force was the result of an accident or deliberate action, nothing was taken. Three of the four burglary cases show the time and date of the reporting of the incident, not the time and date that the burglary occurred. For those three cases there is no known specific date and time known, but rather a number of days during which the incidents could have taken place. Thus the time and date shown are not accurate representations of the date of the crimes.

Reference Appendix B for a graphic representation.

Criminal Mischief Cases:

There were a total of ten (10) criminal mischief cases filed for the month of May. This is a ten percent (10%) decrease in criminal mischief cases from April to May. Three (3) of the cases were to private property and six (6) were to public property. One (1) of these incidents included damage to both public and private property. No cases have been solved as of this report. Four of these cases have a significant Modus Operandi and are likely being completed by the same individual(s). This is the same Modus Operandi as six cases from April.

The times and dates reported are depicted in the graphic representation, however these are mostly the time the report was filed and not the time at which the crime is being committed. Of the ten cases, nine were reported after the damage was observed and the exact time and date of the occurrence is unknown. Based upon an analysis of the narrative reports, the damage is likely occurring during the late evening/ overnight hours on Thursday through Sunday.

Reference Appendix C for a graphic representation.

Larceny Cases:

There were fifteen (15) larceny cases reported during the month of May. Six (6) of the fifteen cases were solved. There were two arrests made as a result of these larceny cases. Three cases saw the suspect enter a diversion program with the business in lieu of arrest. One case in which the prosecutor denied that arrest warrant for the suspect. There are four cases in which a suspect has been developed and one in which an arrest warrant appears imminent.

The larceny types are as follows: six (6) thefts from residence, one (1) theft from vehicle, and eight (8) shopliftings.

Reference Appendix D for a graphic representation.

The theft from a motor vehicle case appears linked to a burglary case and matches a significant Modus Operandi from three of the April thefts from a motor vehicle.

The number of larceny cases decreased twenty-five percent from April to May.

Robbery Cases:

There were (0) robbery cases filed.

Arson Cases: None reported

Rape/Sex offense Cases:

None reported.

<u>Murder Cases:</u>

None reported

Information and Intelligence received on criminal activity:


CTIC provided LEO intelligence during this month. The New England State Police Information Network also served as sources of intelligence.

Intelligence meetings were held with the Middlesex County Detective Association, New Haven ISU, and New Haven MDT in regard to ongoing criminal activity in all attending agencies' jurisdictions.


Respectfully submitted,


Det. Sgt. Fasulo


APPENDIX A-Crime




Appendix B-Burglary









Appendix C-Criminal Mischief







Appendix D-Larceny

